

**Table A-16.B. Evaluation factors for enhanced anaerobic biodegradation**

Remedial time frame	Concern	High to very high
	Discussion	Because of a long to very long remedy timeframe, may not be applicable where contamination poses ongoing risk to potential receptors.
Safety	Concern	Low
	Discussion	Low safety concern for nutrient injection/management and monitoring.
Waste management	Concern	Low
	Discussion	No wastes generated; no waste removed from site.
Community concerns	Concern	Low to moderate
	Discussion	Concerns related to long remedial time frame and injection of nutrients into groundwater.
Carbon footprint/energy requirements	Concern	Low to moderate
	Discussion	Carbon footprint and energy requirements depend on type of enhancements and means of introducing enhancements into subsurface.
Site restrictions	Concern	Low
	Discussion	Minimal constraints except to access injection and monitoring network.
LNAPL body size	Concern	High
	Discussion	Large LNAPL plume may take significantly longer to remediate than a smaller body.
Other regulations	Concern	Low to moderate
	Discussion	Regulatory approval or permit may be needed prior to introducing nutrients into subsurface.
Cost	Concern	Low to moderate
	Discussion	Enhancement acquisition and injection typically low cost. Long term monitoring of the site is typically needed.
Other	Concern	
	Discussion	